UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,172	03/17/2006	Hitoshi Omori	ASAIN0178	3895
***	7590 09/25/200 LER WHISENHUNT	EXAMINER		
SUITE PH-1		RACHUBA, MAURINA T		
2300 NINTH STREET SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 222042396			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3723	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	·					
		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/595,172		OMORI ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Maurina Ra		3723		
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress	
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING analy be available under the provisions of 37 CFR THS from the mailing date of this communication. ply is specified above, the maximum statutory per thin the set or extended period for reply will, by state by the Office later than three months after the man adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THI R 1.136(a). In no even iod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be to expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this c IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a) ☐ This acti 3) ☐ Since thi	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>13</u> on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T s application is in condition for allow accordance with the practice unde	his action is no wance except for	or formal matters, p		e merits is	
Disposition of Cla	aims					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-15 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) 2,3,6-11 and 14 is is/are allowed. 1,4,5,12,13 and 15 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and	s/are withdrawn				
Application Pape	rs					
10)⊠ The draw Applicant Replacen	ification is objected to by the Examing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2006</u> is/are may not request that any objection to the training sheet(s) including the corror declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepte the drawing(s) be rection is required	held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
3) X Information Disc	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) I Date <u>3/17/06,6/18/07</u> .		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/595,172 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species 2 in the reply filed on 13 August 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is a "special technical feature" shared by all the claims. This is not found persuasive because for example claim 12 does not require the same features as claims 2 or 3.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 2, 3, 6-11, and 14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant is strongly advised not to file any divisional applications until prosecution of this pending application is concluded, as any claims dependent from generic or linking claims will be examined when the generic or linking claims are deemed allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the correction means" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 1 and 12 do not limit the correction means, this is first found in claim 13.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,172 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nisimura, 3,953,942. Please refer to figures 3 and 4, and to column 6, lines 2-39.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3723

9. Claims 5, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nisimura, 3,953,942, in view of Kanda et al, 5,683,290. '942 does not expressly disclose that the grinding tool includes a metal in its bonding material, or correction means for correcting the machining surface, functioning simultaneously with the machining of the workpiece. In a similar apparatus, '290 teaches using a metal bonded grinding tool, and correcting the grinding surface with correction means comprising a rotary shaping wheel, simultaneously with machining the workpiece. Using the known techniques of grinding with a metal bonded wheel, and correcting the surface of the wheel during machining of the workpiece, to provide the predictable result of maintaining the desired grinding wheel contour as taught by '290 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.; 550 U.S.---, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar devices are cited of interest.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurina Rachuba whose telephone number is 571 272 4493. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,172

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. Rachuba/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723 Page 5